

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,126	WAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Carlos Lopez.

(3) _____.

 (2) Henry Heines.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 October 2005
Time: 10am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 7-8, 15-17, 19-20, and 21-40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 10/24/05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant, that after further consideration, that the rejection made under 35 USC rejecting the pending claims for lacking enablement of the limitation "less than 100nanometers" would be withdrawn. Applicant agreed to amend claims 1-3 to revert back to its original form of reciting the limitation "less than 100nanometers." Additionally, applicant agreed to cancel claims 7-8, 15-17, and 19-20, for lacking support in the provisional application, hence in canceling the claims, the previously made art rejections would be moot..